

Kritičko čitanje znanstvenog članka

Aleksandra Mišak



ZNANSTVENI ČLANAK

- Posebna vrsta publikacije kojom se prenosi znanstvena informacija
- Obavijest o novom znanstvenom otkriću

OBLICI ZNANSTVENIH ČLANAKA

- Izvorni znanstveni
- Pregledni
- Prikaz bolesnika
- Pismo uredniku

IMRaD

ICMJE

(International Committee of Medical Journal Editors)

**Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts
Submitted to Biomedical Journals**

<http://www.icmje.org/>

STRUKTURA IZVORNOG RADA



- strukturirana informacija
- potpuna informacija
- istinita informacija

HIJERARHIJA VRIJEDNOSTI ISTRAŽIVANJA

1. Meta-analiza / sustavni pregled
2. Randomizirani kontrolirani klinički pokus
3. Kohortno prospektivno istraživanje
4. Retrospektivno istraživanje (case-control study)
5. Presječno istraživanje
6. Prikaz slučaja (case series & case report)



U praksi

- Bolesnik
- ↓
- Pitanje: PICO (ključne riječi)
- ↓
- Pretraživanje literature
- ↓
- Pronalaženje “najboljeg” dokaza
- ↓
- KRITIČKA PROCJENA VRIJEDNOSTI

Najčešća pitanja

- Dijagnoza: pouzdanost testa u prepoznavanju bolesti?
- Etiologija/štetnost: izloženost uzročnom čimbeniku?
- Terapija: koja i koliko djelotvorna?
- Prognoza: ishod bolesti?

3 koraka kritičke procjene dokaza

- 1. Je li valjan (istinit, koliko to može biti)?
- 2. Je li važan? (kakvi su rezultati?)
- 3. Je li primjenjiv? (na našeg bolesnika, u našoj situaciji...)

CATs

“Critical Appraisal Tools”



- CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) - RCT (terapija)
- STARD (Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy) – za dijagnostičke testove
- STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of *Observational* Studies in Epidemiology) – za opažajna ispitivanja
- QUORUM (Quality of Reporting Meta-analyses) – za metaanalize
- AGREE (Appraisal of *Guidelines* Research & Evaluation) – za smjernice

| CONSORT CHECKLIST | | | |
|--|----------|---|----------------------|
| Table. CONSORT 2010 Checklist of Information to Include When Reporting a Randomized Trial ^a | | | |
| Section and Topic | Item No. | Checklist Item | Reported on Page No. |
| Title and abstract | 1a | Identification as a randomized trial in the title | |
| | 1b | Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts) | |
| Introduction Background and objectives | 2a | Scientific background and explanation of rationale | |
| | 2b | Specific objectives or hypotheses | |
| Methods Trial design | 3a | Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio | |
| | 3b | Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons | |
| Participants | 4a | Eligibility criteria for participants | |
| | 4b | Settings and locations where the data were collected | |
| Interventions | 5 | The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered | |
| Outcomes | 6a | Completely defined prespecified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed | |
| | 6b | Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons | |
| Sample size | 7a | How sample size was determined | |
| | 7b | When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines | |
| Randomization Sequence generation | 8a | Method used to generate the random allocation sequence | |
| | 8b | Type of randomization; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size) | |
| Allocation concealment mechanism | 9 | Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned | |
| Implementation | 10 | Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions | |
| Blinding | 11a | If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how | |

| STARD checklist for the reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy. First official version, January 2003. | | | |
|---|--------------|---|---|
| Section and Topic | Item # | | On page # |
| TITLE/ABSTRACT/KEYWORDS | 1 | Identify the article as a study of diagnostic accuracy (include MESH heading 'sensitivity and specificity'). | |
| INTRODUCTION | 2 | State the research questions or study aims, such as estimating diagnostic accuracy or comparing accuracy between tests or across participant groups. | |
| METHODS | | | |
| | Participants | 3 | Describe the study population: The inclusion and exclusion criteria, setting and locations where the data were collected. |
| | 4 | Describe participant recruitment: Was recruitment based on presenting symptoms, results from previous tests, or the fact that the participants had received the index tests or the reference standard? | |
| | 5 | Describe participant sampling: Was the study population a consecutive series of participants defined by the selection criteria in items 3 and 4? If not, specify how participants were further selected. | |
| | 6 | Describe data collection: Was data collection planned before the index test and reference standard were performed (prospective study) or after (retrospective study)? | |
| Test methods | 7 | Describe the reference standard and its rationale. | |
| | 8 | Describe technical specifications of material and methods involved including how and when measurements were taken, and/or the references for index tests and reference standard. | |
| | 9 | Describe definition of and rationale for the units, cutoffs and/or categories of the results of the index tests and the reference standard. | |
| | 10 | Describe the number, training and expertise of the persons executing and reading the index tests and the reference standard. | |
| | 11 | Describe whether or not the readers of the index tests and reference standard were blind (masked), to the results of the other test and describe any other clinical information available to the readers. | |
| Statistical methods | 12 | Describe methods for calculating or comparing measures of diagnostic accuracy, and the statistical methods used to quantify uncertainty (e.g. 95% confidence intervals). | |
| | 13 | Describe methods for calculating test reproducibility, if done. | |

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

| | Item No | Recommendation |
|---------------------------|---------|---|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found |
| Introduction | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses |
| Methods | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection |
| Participants | 6 | (a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up (b) <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls |

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *case-control studies*

| | Item No | Recommendation |
|---------------------------|---------|--|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found |
| Introduction | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses |
| Methods | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable |
| Data sources/measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is |



Primjer

Pediat Res 1972;6:26

Krv za analizu uzeta je od 48 osoba koje smo upoznali s pokusom i koje su pristale na istraživanje (informed and consenting subjects); dob ispitanika bila je od 6 mjeseci do 22 godine.